Particle stabilized emulsions are ubiquitous in the food and cosmetics industry, but our understanding of the influence of microscopic fluid-particle and particle-particle interactions on the macroscopic rheology is still limited. In this paper we present a simulation algorithm based on a multicomponent lattice Boltzmann model to describe the solvents combined with a molecular dynamics solver for the description of the solved particles. It is shown that the model allows a wide variation of fluid properties and arbitrary contact angles on the particle surfaces. We demonstrate its applicability by studying the transition from a “bicontinuous interfacially jammed emulsion gel” (bijel) to a “Pickering emulsion” in dependence on the contact angle, the particle concentration, and the ratio of the solvents.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Using particles in a manner similar to surfactants to stabilize emulsions is very attractive, in particular, for the food, cosmetics, and medical industries to stabilize, for example, barbecue sauces and sun cremes, or to produce sophisticated ways to deliver drugs at the right position in the human body. The microscopic processes leading to the commercial interest can be understood by assuming an oil-water mixture. Without any additives, phase separation would take place and the oil would float on top of the water. Adding small particles, however, causes these particles to diffuse to the interface which is being stabilized due to a reduced surface energy. If, for example, individual droplets of one phase are covered by particles, such systems are also referred to as “Pickering emulsions” and have been known since the beginning of the last century [1,2]. Particularly interesting properties of such emulsions are the blocking of Ostwald ripening and the rheological properties due to irreversible particle adsorption at interfaces as well as interface bridging due to particle monolayers [3–6].

Recently, there has been a growing interest in particles suspended in multiphase or multicomponent flows [3,6–8], which led to the discovery of a new material type, the “bicontinuous interfacially jammed emulsion gel” (bijel) [9]. The existence of the bijel was predicted in 2005 by Stratford et al. [10,11] and experimentally confirmed by Herzig et al. in 2007 [12]. In contrast to Pickering emulsions which consist of unconnected particle stabilized droplets distributed in a second continuous fluid phase, the bijel shows an interface between two continuous fluid phases which is covered by particles.

Since the particles used for stabilization have a larger size than surfactant molecules and do not present any amphiphilic properties, concepts developed for the description of surfactant-stabilized systems are often not applicable. Instead, theoretical models have to be developed and experiments have to be performed which consider the specific properties of particle-stabilized systems. These include the particle’s contact angle, the strong interparticle capillary forces, or the pH value, and electrolyte concentration of the solvents [5–7,13,14]. However, even today our quantitative understanding of solid stabilized emulsions is still far from satisfactory.

Computer simulations are promising to understand the dynamic properties of particle stabilized multiphase flows. However, the shortcomings of traditional simulation methods quickly become obvious: A suitable simulation algorithm is not only required to deal with simple fluid dynamics, but has to be able to simulate several fluid species while also considering the motion of the particles and the fluid-particle interactions. Some recent approaches trying to solve these problems utilize the lattice Boltzmann method for the description of the solvents [15]. The lattice Boltzmann method can be seen as an alternative to conventional Navier-Stokes solvers and is well established in the literature. It is attractive for the current application since a number of multiphase and multicomponent models exist which are comparably straightforward to implement [16–22]. In addition, the method has been combined with a molecular dynamics algorithm to simulate arbitrarily shaped particles in flow and is commonly used to study the behavior of particle-laden single-phase flows [23–26].

A few groups combined multiphase lattice Boltzmann solvers with the known algorithms for suspended particles [10,27]. In this paper we follow an alternative approach: We present a method based on the multicomponent lattice Boltzmann model of Shan and Chen [16] which allows the simulation of multiple fluid components with surface tension. Our model generally allows arbitrary movements and rotations of arbitrarily shaped hard-shell particles. It does not require fluid-filled particles and thus does not suffer from the unphysical behavior caused by oscillations of the inner fluid [28]. Further, it allows an arbitrary choice of the particle wettability; one of the most important parameters for the dynamics of multiphase suspensions [6,7].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. After a description of the Shan-Chen approach for multicomponent lattice Boltzmann simulations and an extension of the lattice Boltzmann method to simulate suspensions, a way to combine the two methods is proposed. The influence of the parameters of the model on the contact angle as a measure of wettability is studied in the following section. Then the suitability of the new method is tested by performing a detailed study of the formation of bijels and Pickering emulsions.
II. MULTICOMPONENT LATTICE BOLTZMANN MODEL

The dynamics of the fluid solvents is simulated by a multicomponent lattice Boltzmann model following the approach of Shan and Chen [16]. Here, each component follows a lattice Boltzmann equation

\[ f_{i}^{c}(x + c_{i}t + 1) = f_{i}^{c}(x,t) + \Omega_{i}^{c}(x,t), \]

where \( f_{i}^{c}(x,t) \) is the single-particle distribution function for component \( c \) in the direction \( c_{i} \) at a discrete lattice position \( x \) and at timestep \( t \). In this work we use exclusively the so-called D3Q19 implementation, where \( N = 19 \) velocities are used on a three-dimensional lattice. For simplicity, the length of a timestep and the lattice constant are set to 1 (i.e., all units are given in lattice units if not stated otherwise). \( \Omega_{i}^{c} \) is the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) collision operator [29]

\[ \Omega_{i}^{c}(x,t) = -\frac{f_{i}^{c}(x,t) - f_{i}^{c\text{eq}}}{\tau_{c}}, \]

which is a relaxation toward the local equilibrium distribution function

\[ f_{i}^{c\text{eq}} = \xi_{c} \rho_{0} \left[ 1 + \frac{c_{i} u}{c_{s}^{2}} + \frac{(c_{i} u)^{2}}{2c_{s}^{2}} - \frac{(c_{i} u)^{3}}{6c_{s}^{2}} - \frac{u^{2}(c_{i} u)}{2c_{s}^{2}} \right], \]

on a time scale given by the relaxation time \( \tau_{c} \) [30]. Here, \( \rho_{0} \) is the fluid density with reference density \( \rho_{0} \) and \( u = u^{c}(x,t) \) is the macroscopic bulk velocity of the fluid, given by \( \rho^{c}(x,t) u^{c}(x,t) = \sum_{c} f_{i}^{c}(x,t) c_{i} \). \( \xi_{c} \) are the coefficients resulting from the velocity space discretization and \( c_{s} = 1/\sqrt{3} \) is the speed of sound, both of which are determined by the choice of the lattice. The kinematic viscosity of the fluid is given by \( \nu = c_{s}^{2}(\tau_{c} - 1/2) \).

The interaction between fluid components \( c \) and \( c' \) is introduced as a self-consistently generated mean-field force

\[ F^{c}(x,t) = -\Psi^{c}(x,t) \sum_{c'} \sum_{x} g^{c,c'} \Psi^{c'}(x',t)(x'-x), \]

where \( x' \) are the nearest neighbors and \( \Psi^{c}(x) \) is the so-called effective mass, which can have a general form for modeling various types of fluids. We choose

\[ \Psi^{c}(x,t) = \rho_{0} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{\rho^{c}(x,t)}{\rho_{0}} \right) \right]. \]

\( g^{c,c'} \) is a force-coupling constant whose magnitude controls the strength of the interaction between components \( c, c' \) and is set positive to mimic repulsion. The dynamical effect of the force is realized in the BGK collision operator by adding to the velocity \( u^{c}(x,t) \) the equilibrium distribution the increment

\[ \Delta u^{c}(x,t) = \frac{\tau_{c} F^{c}(x,t)}{\rho^{c}(x,t)}. \]

The force also enters the calculation of the actual macroscopic bulk velocity as [31,32]

\[ u^{c}(x,t) = \sum_{c} \frac{f_{i}^{c}(x,t) c_{i}}{\rho^{c}(x,t)} + \frac{1}{2} F^{c}(x,t). \]

In this paper two fluids with identical properties are used which are called “blue” ("\( b \)”) and “red” ("\( r \)”). To simplify statements about the fluid ratio at a certain position an order parameter

\[ \phi(x,t) = \rho^{i}(x,t) - \rho^{b}(x,t), \]

is introduced. The Shan-Chen model is a diffuse interface method, where interfaces between different fluids are about four lattice-sites wide. For the analysis below we define the interface position to be located where the order parameter vanishes.

III. SUSPENDED PARTICLES

Pioneering work on the development of an extension to the lattice Boltzmann method to incorporate particles has been done by Ladd et al. [23–25]. The method has been applied to suspensions of spherical and nonspherical particles by various authors [10,26,27,33]. Recently, the inclusion of Brownian motion was revisited and clarified in more detail [34,35]. The suspended particles are assumed to be homogeneous spheres with radius \( r_{par} \). In our implementation of the method Newton’s equations for the momentum

\[ F = m \frac{dv_{par}}{dt}, \]

and the angular momentum

\[ D = J \frac{d\omega}{dt}, \]

are solved with a leap-frog integrator to simulate their behavior. Here, \( F \) is the force acting on a particle, \( m \) is its mass, and \( v_{par} \) its velocity. \( D \) is the torque, \( J \) the moment of inertia, and \( \omega \) the angular velocity.

The particles are discretized on the lattice and interactions between the fluid and the particles are introduced by marking all lattice sites that are inside the particle as solid nodes for the fluid, at which bounce-back boundary conditions are applied. [25] Bounce-back boundary conditions reflect the incoming distributions at a site back to where they came from, so that the streaming step is modified to

\[ f_{i}^{c}(x,t+1) = f_{i}^{c}(x-c_{i},t), \]

for all \( i \) where \( x - c_{i} \) is not a solid node to

\[ f_{i}^{c}(x,t+1) = f_{i}^{c}(x,t), \]

for all \( i \) where \( x - c_{i} \) is a solid node. Here, \( i' \) is defined as the index corresponding to \( c_{i'} = -c_{i} \). This results in a no-slip boundary located halfway between the fluid and the solid nodes. The change of momentum of the fluid that is reflected at the boundary has to be compensated for by a momentum change of the particle itself as given by

\[ \Delta p(t) = 2 \rho(x,t) c_{i}. \]

As we assume the length of a timestep \( dt \) to be 1 this corresponds to a force

\[ F(t) = 2 \rho(x,t) c_{i}, \]

and a torque

\[ D(t) = F(t) \times r(t), \]
on the particle. Here, \( r(t) \) is the vector pointing from the center of the particle to the site of the reflection. Since the particles are not stationary but move over the lattice, the bounce-back rule does not correctly reproduce the velocity of the reflected fluid. It is therefore corrected as

\[
    f_i^c(\mathbf{x}, t + 1) = f_i^c(\mathbf{x}, t) - \frac{1}{6} \rho^c(\mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{c}_i, \tag{16}
\]

where \( \mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \) is the velocity of the particle surface on which the fluid is reflected. This effect also leads to a change in transferred momentum, so that the force acting on the particle is

\[
    \mathbf{F}(t) = \left( 2 \rho^c(\mathbf{x}, t) - \frac{1}{6} \rho^c(\mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right) \mathbf{c}_i. \tag{17}
\]

When the particle moves over the lattice, individual lattice sites can be either occupied by it in front of the particle or be released at its back. In case of newly occupied sites, the fluid on the site is deleted and its momentum transferred to the particle by adding

\[
    \mathbf{F}(t) = -\rho^c(\mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{x}, t). \tag{18}
\]

In the case of the particle vacating a lattice site, new fluid is created with the initial fluid density and the velocity of the particle surface \( \mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \) at the corresponding site

\[
    f_i^c(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_{\text{init}}^c \cdot f_{i}^{\text{eq}}[\mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(\mathbf{x}, t), \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)]. \tag{19}
\]

To satisfy conservation of momentum this again leads to a force on the particle

\[
    \mathbf{F}(t) = \rho_{\text{init}}^c \mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(\mathbf{x}, t). \tag{20}
\]

Interactions between particles can be taken into account similar to standard molecular dynamics implementations. In the current paper, we only consider Hertzian contact forces to mimic hard spheres and a lubrication correction to correct for the limitations of the lattice Boltzmann method to describe the hydrodynamics properly on scales below the lattice resolution. When particles collide the resulting forces are derived from the Hertzian potential [36]

\[
    V_{\text{Hertz}}(r) = \begin{cases} 
    K_{\text{Hertz}}(2r_{\text{par}} - r)^3 & \text{for } r < 2r_{\text{par}}, \\
    0 & \text{else},
    \end{cases} \tag{21}
\]

with \( K_{\text{Hertz}} \) being a constant [37]. When two particles move toward each other the lubrication interaction between them results in a force separating the particles. If there is not at least one lattice site between the particles to resolve the flow, this force is not properly reproduced by the simulation and a lubrication correction has to be added, given by

\[
    \mathbf{F}_{\text{hub}} = -\frac{6\pi \nu r_{\text{par}}^4}{(2r_{\text{par}})^2} \frac{r}{|r|} \left[ \frac{1}{|r| - 2r_{\text{par}}} - 1 \right], \tag{22}
\]

where \( r \) is the vector connecting the particle centers and \( \mathbf{u}_{1,2} \) are their respective velocities [25,38]. It is introduced at particle distances smaller than 2/3 lattice units and limited to its value at a distance of 1/10 of a lattice unit to avoid numerical instabilities due to the divergence at \( |r| = 2r_{\text{par}} \).

### IV. PARTICLES IN MULTICOMPONENT FLUIDS

To develop a simulation algorithm for particles in multicomponent flows the previously described methods can be combined as described in the current section. First, when extending the coupling between particles and fluid to multiple components the treatment of lattice sites that are uncovered by the moving particle has to be adapted. In the original algorithm for a single fluid, such sites are filled with the initial fluid density \( \rho_{\text{init}}^c \). However, re-initializing such sites with multiple fluid components can lead to artefacts since it is not \textit{a priori} the case that the correct fluid composition should correspond to the initial state of the simulation. For example, one kind of fluid could appear in a region where only the other fluid is present. To prevent such artefacts we use the average surrounding fluid density

\[
    \rho^c(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{NP}}} \sum_{i} \rho^c(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}_i, t), \tag{23}
\]

where \( i_{\text{NP}} \) are all indices \( i \) for which \( \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}_i \) is a nonparticle site. \( N_{\text{NP}} \) is the number of these sites. Similar to the method described in Refs. [33,39], the uncovered sites are re-initialized with

\[
    \rho_{\text{new}}^c(\mathbf{x}, t) = \bar{\rho}^c(\mathbf{x}, t), \tag{24}
\]

following a similar approach as in Eqs. (19) and (20), that is,

\[
    f_i^c(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_{\text{new}}^c(\mathbf{x}, t) f_i^{\text{eq}} \left[ \rho_{\text{new}}^c(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(t) \right], \tag{25}
\]

and

\[
    \mathbf{F} = \sum_c \rho_{\text{new}}^c(\mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{u}_{\text{surf}}(t). \tag{26}
\]

The second modification of the original algorithms is required to correctly take into account the effect of the fluid-fluid interaction forces on the fluid in the direct vicinity of a particle. For the calculation of the forces between different fluid components, also the empty lattice sites inside a particle are considered if one follows Eq. (4). Since there are no Shan-Chen forces acting in the direction from the particle to the fluid, the fluid forms a layer of increased density around the particle. To avoid this artefact, the outermost layer of the lattice sites inside the particle is not kept empty, but is filled with a virtual fluid density which is equivalent to the average of the surrounding densities \( \bar{\rho}^c(\mathbf{x}, t) \)

\[
    \rho^c(\mathbf{x}, t) = \bar{\rho}^c(\mathbf{x}, t). \tag{27}
\]

This virtual fluid inside the particles does not follow the lattice Boltzmann equation (i.e., the advection and collision steps are not applied).

Further, the Shan-Chen-like force acting from the fluid surrounding a particle on the particle itself has to be accounted for. This is implemented by summing up all Shan-Chen forces

\[
    \mathbf{F}(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{c} \mathbf{F}^c(\mathbf{x}, t), \tag{28}
\]

acting on every lattice site \( \mathbf{x} \) inside the particle. This force and the corresponding torque are then added to the particle within the molecular dynamics algorithm. The forces on the fluid outside the particles are calculated as before with the virtual...
fluid being treated like a regular fluid in the Shan-Chen force computation. This leads to a balanced force on the fluid sites near the particle surface and therefore prevents the formation of a layer of increased density. This is demonstrated in Fig. 1, where the left subfigure shows a particle with \( r_{\text{par}} = 10 \) being filled with virtual fluid while in the right subfigure the particle is not filled with a virtual fluid. The particle is set at an interface created by two lamellae of red and blue fluids at the center of the shown area. Periodic boundary conditions are applied causing a second interface to appear at the left and right borders of the sketches. As we use a diffuse interface method for the fluids, the interfaces cover about four lattice sites depicted by the varying grayscale. Without the virtual fluid, the halo of increased density can clearly be seen, while adding the virtual fluid successfully allows to correct for this inconsistency.

The advantage of a virtual fluid inside the particles is that it can be utilized to modify the wettability of the particles. Here, we follow an approach which has been introduced to model hydrophobic fluid-surface interactions for studying flow in hydrophobic microchannels or droplets on surfaces with arbitrary contact angles [40–43]. Our approach is equivalent to the method presented in Ref. [28]. The Shan-Chen interaction between the particles and the fluids can be modified by tuning the density of the local virtual fluids. Increasing one of them by an amount \(|\Delta \rho|\) causes the particle surface to “prefer” this fluid with respect to the other one (i.e., the repulsion between the increased component and the unmodified one increases). \( \Delta \rho \) is called “particle color” and a positive particle color is defined as an addition of “red” fluid, that is,

\[
\rho_{\text{new}}^r = \bar{\rho}^r + |\Delta \rho|, \tag{29}
\]

whereas a negative color corresponds to “blue” fluid being added, that is,

\[
\rho_{\text{new}}^b = \bar{\rho}^b + |\Delta \rho|. \tag{30}
\]

In the next section we demonstrate that the particle color can be used to tune the contact angle of the particle surface at an interface to resemble specific fluids and solid materials. As an alternative to the virtual fluid, a modified version of Eq. (4) that takes solid lattice sites and fluid-surface interactions into account could be developed, but the approach presented here is simpler to implement and does not have any relevant impact on the performance of the code.

The changing discretization of the particle together with the fluid-surface interaction force leads to slight mass errors during the step in which vacated lattice nodes are refilled with fluid. This effect is especially strong for small particles and high forces (large values for \( g_{cc'} \)). Typical test cases have shown that the total mass after very long simulation times (10⁶ timesteps) increases by about 1%. This can be explained by the simple interpolation for the amount of newly created fluid which is necessary since no analytical solution for the multicomponent Shan-Chen model is known that describes the density profile at interfaces. Even though the effect is very small, it can be suppressed if newly created fluid densities \( \rho_{\text{new}}^c \) are scaled with a correction factor which depends on the total mass error \( \Delta \rho' \) up to the current timestep and the total number of lattice sites in the system \( N \). This leads to a modification of Eq. (24)

\[
\rho_{\text{new}}^c = \rho' \left( 1 - C_0 \frac{\sum c \rho_{\text{init}}^c \Delta \rho'}{\rho_{\text{init}}^c N} \right). \tag{31}
\]

The rate of the adaptive correction can be tuned with the parameter \( C_0 \). Due to the very small mass error, the correction can act very slowly, but should not be chosen too fast to avoid hysteresis effects. Further, Eq. (31) reduces unphysical density gradients at particle surfaces and thus contributes to the stability of the algorithm. Repeating the same test case as above with a correction factor of \( C_0 = 10 \) results in a deviation of the mass of 0.03% after 10⁷ timesteps.

V. CONTACT ANGLE MEASUREMENTS

The contact angle \( \theta \) is a common measure for the wettability of the particle by the two fluid components. The influence of various simulation parameters on the contact angle is investigated in the current section. To measure the contact angle the following setup is used in the simulations: In the \( z \) direction, one half of the lattice is filled with one fluid component, the other half with the second one. The particle is placed at the interface at \( t = 0 \) and the simulation is started. The interface between the two components is tracked via the (linearly interpolated) position at which the order parameter is zero. Then, the contact angle \( \theta \) can be calculated by

\[
\cos (\theta) = \frac{\Delta h}{r_{\text{par}}}, \tag{32}
\]

where \( \Delta h \) is the difference between the interface position and the particle center in the direction perpendicular to the interface (cf. Fig. 2).

To study the time-evolution of the contact angle a system of size 48 × 48 × 256 lattice sites is used. The particle has a radius of 10 lattice sites and a color of 0.02. The initial fluid density is 0.6 and \( g_{cc'} = 0.08 \). Figure 3 shows the time dependence of the contact angle. After a short, fast
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We investigate the influence of the particle concentration, compare our results with the results of Stratford et al. We study the temporal development of the system and introduced in this paper is also able to model the formation of the bijel formation and can thus be ignored in the simulations [10].

To analyze the development of structures in the simulated systems, we define the averaged time-dependent lateral domain size $L_i(t)$ which consists of an average of its components $L_i$ along direction $i = x,y,z$ as given by

$$L_i(t) \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k_i^2(t)}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

Here,

$$\langle k_i^2(t) \rangle = \frac{\sum_k k_i^2 S(k,t)}{\sum_k S(k,t)},$$ \hspace{1cm} (34)

is the second-order moment of the three-dimensional structure function

$$S(k,t) = \frac{1}{N} |\phi_k(t)|^2,$$ \hspace{1cm} (35)

with respect to the Cartesian component $i$, $\langle \rangle$ denotes the average in Fourier space, weighted by $S(k,t)$ and $N$ is the number of nodes of the lattice, $\phi_k(t)$ the Fourier transform of the fluctuations of the order parameter $\phi' \equiv \phi - \langle \phi \rangle$, and $k_i$ is the $i$th component of the wave vector [44]. The simulations are performed using a 256$^3$ lattice, a coupling constant of $g_{br} = 0.08$, an initial fluid density of $\rho_{init} = 0.7$, a particle size of $r_{par} = 5$ lattice units, and a particle density of 1 (i.e., the particles are slightly heavier than the fluid). The time development of the average domain size $L(t)$ is shown in Fig. 6. The figure clearly shows that the system comes to arrest after

![FIG. 5. (a) Contact angle versus $g_{br}$, For $g_{br} \geq 0.1$ a contact angle of 93.0° with an error smaller than 0.1° is measured. The contact angle increases from this value at $g_{br} = 0.01$ to 98.0° at $g_{br} = 0.08$ with an error below one degree. $g_{br} = 0.075$ results in a contact angle of (113.6 ° ± 0.3°) and for smaller $g_{br}$ the particle detaches from the interface. (b) Contact angle versus the initial fluid density $\rho_{init}$, $\rho_{init} = 0.9$ results in a contact angle of 92.5°. Reducing $\rho_{init}$ causes the contact angle to decrease to 108.4° at $\rho_{init} = 0.55$. $\theta$ is given by our particular choice of the effective mass $\Psi^c(x)$ [see Eq. (5)], which causes a damping of the interactions for large densities.

The knowledge of the contact angle and particle shape together with a measurement of the surface tension between both fluids allows to measure the energy required to detach a trapped particle from an interface [6]. While for spherical particles it is straightforward to compute the detachment energy analytically, for highly anisotropic or complex-shaped particles this is not easily possible. A simulation study based on the model proposed in this paper would allow a well-founded understanding of the dependence of detachment energies on particle properties and could be compared to experimental data.

VI. BIJEL FORMATION

The formation of a “bijel” (bicontinuous interfacially jammed emulsion gel) was first predicted by Stratford et al. in 2005 [10]. As stated in the Introduction, bijels can form when (colloidal) particles are added to a mixture of two immiscible fluids. During the phase separation of the two fluids, the particles accumulate at the interface until those are fully jammed. Since the simulations performed by Stratford et al. utilize a free-energy-based multiphase lattice Boltzmann model, we show in this section that the multicomponent model introduced in this paper is also able to model the formation of a bijel. We study the temporal development of the system and compare our results with the results of Stratford et al. Further, we investigate the influence of the particle concentration, $g_{br}$, and $\rho_{init} = \sum_i \rho_{init}^i$ on the bijel formation. The initial conditions for the simulations are as follows: an identical number of the two fluid species is distributed randomly throughout the system. The initial positions of the colorless particles ($\theta = 90°$) are also chosen at random. To keep the system size at manageable 256$^3$ lattice units and to be able to simulate a significant number of particles, the particle radius is kept at $r_{par} = 5$ lattice units in all simulations.

The conversion from lattice units to SI units of a system containing two identical fluids with the speed of sound ($c_s = 1482.35 \text{ m/s}$) and kinematic viscosity ($\nu = 1.004 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$) of water at 20 °C results in a timestep of $\Delta t = 9.14 \times 10^{-13} \text{s}$ and a lattice constant of $\Delta x = 2.35 \text{ nm}$. Since we set our particle diameter to 10 lattice units, the physical diameter would be 23.5 nm and the side length of a cubic simulation volume with 256 lattice units corresponds to 601.6 nm. The systems presented in this section are simulated for $2.8 \times 10^5$ timesteps corresponding to $2.56 \times 10^{-7} \text{s}$.

As already stated correctly by Stratford et al., thermal fluctuations have only a little effect on the phase separation and bijel formation and can thus be ignored in the simulations [10].

![FIG. 6. Average domain size for a system with $g_{br} = 0.08$, $\rho_{init} = 0.7$, and a particle concentration of 20%. During the first $2.5 \times 10^4$ steps of the simulation the average domain size grows from below 5 to its final value of about 31 lattice units.]
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a brief period of phase separation. During the first $2.5 \times 10^5$ timesteps the average domain size $L(t)$ increases from 5 lattice units to about 31 lattice units and stays at that value until the end of the simulation at $t = 2.8 \times 10^5$ timesteps. This qualitatively agrees to the results obtained by Stratford et al. [10]. However, their simulation did not converge to a fixed domain size, which might be caused by the thermal motion incorporated in their model. While thermal fluctuations are not strong enough to detach the particles from the interface they might cause some local reordering of the particles and therefore support further domain growth. This effect would be favored by the small particle diameter used in the simulations presented in Ref. [10] since the particle size is of the same order or even smaller than the interface thickness.

The arrest of the phase separation process can also be observed by visualizing a two-dimensional (2D) cut at $z = 0$ of the order parameter as in Fig. 7 or a three-dimensional (3D) visualisation of $\phi$ as in Fig. 8. The differences between timesteps $t = 5000$ and $t = 10000$, while at timesteps $2.5 \times 10^5$ and $2.8 \times 10^5$ only minor rearrangements can be observed.

attaching the particles to the interface. Therefore, the size of the interface increases because the particles cannot slightly shift away from it to accommodate more particles on the same interfacial area. As the number of particles in the system is kept constant, the interfacial area has to increase and therefore the resulting domains become smaller.

For a variation of the initial bulk density $\rho_{\text{init}}$ the arguments of the previous paragraph still hold. A larger value of $\rho_{\text{init}}$

![Image](image-url)
leads to stronger interaction forces and therefore to smaller structures as described above. While the coupling constant \( g_{\text{hu}} \) directly changes the strength of the force \( \rho_{\text{init}} \) affects the force only indirectly through the effective mass which causes the effect to be less pronounced. As depicted in Fig. 9(b) the average domain size \( L \) decreases from about 32 lattice units for \( \rho_{\text{init}} = 0.6 \) to below 30 lattice units for \( \rho_{\text{init}} = 0.9 \)

The connection between the area of the interface covered by particles and the size of the resulting structures can be best shown by varying the particle concentration \( \alpha \). This is depicted in Fig. 10. Increasing particle concentration leads to a larger interfacial area and therefore to finer structures. While the average domain size of a system with a particle concentration of 0.15 is about 36 lattice units this value decreases to about 22 lattice units for a concentration of 0.35. A too low particle concentration leads to such a small stabilized surface that finite size effects start to appear as they are well known from lattice Boltzmann simulations of spinodal decomposition [44]. This can be seen for a concentration of 5%. Here, the structure size increases drastically, also the average domain size is not the same for all spatial directions anymore and varies by about 3 lattice units. It is possible to fit a function of the form \( L = a/\alpha + b \) with \( a = 3.85936 \) and \( b = 10.8479 \) to the values where finite size effects do not play a role.

VII. PICKERING EMULSIONS

Another well-known phenomena that can be observed in mixtures of immiscible fluids and particles are Pickering emulsions [1,2]. Here, the particles are not necessarily equally wettable by the fluids anymore. Also, the ratio of the amount of fluid of different species deviates from 1. The result is a system where one phase is continuous while the other forms droplets which are stabilized by the particles. The particles prevent the droplets from merging when they collide and therefore stop the growth of the average droplet size. As before, the droplet size can be measured utilizing \( L(t) \) as shown in Fig. 11. Here, the lattice is \( 256^3 \), the interaction constant \( g_{\text{wu}} = 0.09 \), and the initial fluid density \( \rho_{\text{init}} = 0.66 \). The fluid ratio is 1:3 and the particles with a color of \( \Delta \phi = -0.01 \) have a volume concentration of 15%. As for the previously presented “bijels” a rapid growth of \( L(t) \) from 5 to over 25 lattice units can be observed during the first 25,000 timesteps of the simulation. The domain growth slows down to a slight decelerating growth afterward. This agrees qualitatively with the experimental results by Ardity et al. [3].

FIG. 10. Average domain size versus particle concentration \( \alpha \). Decreasing \( \alpha \) from 0.35 to 0.15 leads to an increase of \( L \) from 21.5 lattice units to 36 lattice units. If the concentration is further reduced to 0.05, finite size effects start to occur. Also shown is \( L = \frac{1.36}{\alpha} + 10.85 \), the result of a fit to the concentration values between 0.15 and 0.35. Error bars are given by the maximum deviation of \( L_x, L_y, L_z \) from the mean.

FIG. 11. Average domain size over time for a system of size \( 256^3 \), \( g_{\text{hu}} = 0.09 \), \( \rho_{\text{init}} = 0.66 \), fluid ratio 1:3, particle color −0.01, and particle concentration \( \alpha = 0.15 \). After a rapid growth of the domain size to over 25 lattice units during the first 25,000 timesteps the domain size increases only slowly to slightly over 27 lattice units at timestep \( 3 \times 10^5 \).
A 3D visualization of the order parameter \( \phi \) and the particles are shown in Fig. 12 and accompanied by a 2D cut of the system at \( z = 0 \) in Fig. 13. The particle-covered droplets as well as the slowing down of droplet growth can be observed. While the system changes dramatically between timesteps 5000 and 10000 almost no difference can be observed when comparing step \( 2.5 \times 10^5 \) to step \( 3.0 \times 10^5 \).

The influence of the interfacial area on the droplet size can be demonstrated by modifying the particle concentration. The resulting average domain size for different concentrations is shown in Fig. 14. A higher concentration leads to a larger stabilized interfacial area resulting in smaller droplets: reducing the slowing down of droplet growth can be observed. While strongly colored particles result in droplets that are not as spherical anymore.

FIG. 13. 2D cut at \( z = 0 \) through the system described in Fig. 11 at different times. Shown is the order parameter \( \phi \).

VIII. TRANSITION FROM BIJEL TO PICKERING EMULSION

In the current section it is demonstrated how the contact angle, the particle volume concentration, and the ratio of the two fluid species determine the final state of the system to be a bijel or a Pickering emulsion. Phase diagrams depending on the various simulation parameters are presented in Figs. 16 and 17. To reduce the computational cost, the size of the lattice has been reduced to \( 128^3 \) in this section. However, by performing a small number of \( 512^3 \)-sized simulations it has been confirmed that finite size effects are still below an acceptable limit and do not influence the final physical state of the system. If the system categorizes as bijel or Pickering emulsion is determined visually after \( 3 \times 10^3 \) timesteps. \( \rho_{\text{init}} \) is kept fixed at 0.7 and \( g_{\text{br}} \) is set to 0.08 in all simulations.

As expected, the color and thus the contact angle of the particle has a drastic influence on the formed structure. While strongly colored particles with contact angles different from \( 90^\circ \) degrees lead to spherical droplets, neutrally wetting particles result in droplets that are not as spherical anymore. We observe structures that are similar to the ones found by Kim et al. [11] for their simulation of neutrally wetting particles. These structures are extended in one of the directions. This results in a reduction of the measured average domain size \( L \), while the difference between the directions increases. This difference is expressed through the error bars in Fig. 15. The values of the contact angle shown in the figure are obtained from the mapping presented in Fig. 4(b).

FIG. 15. Average domain size after \( 1.5 \times 10^3 \) timesteps versus contact angle \( \theta \). System size \( 256^3 \), \( g_{\text{br}} = 0.08 \), \( \rho_{\text{init}} = 0.7 \), fluid ratio 5:9, and particle concentration \( \alpha = 0.15 \). Neutrally wetting particles lead to a small \( L \) with a large deviation between the spatial directions while strongly colored particles lead to a larger average domain size with almost no deviations. Error bars are given by the maximum deviation of \( L_x, L_y, L_z \) from the mean.
For contact angles larger than 90° the system always relaxes toward a bijel, while for strongly negative coloring a Pickering emulsion is obtained. The line is a guide to the eye.

Figure 16 shows a phase diagram in dependence on the particle concentration and the contact angle [see Fig. 4(b) for the mapping between the particle color and the contact angle]. The ratio of the two fluid species is kept fixed at 5:9. For contact angles larger than 90° the system always relaxes toward a bijel, while for strongly negative coloring and thus smaller contact angles a Pickering emulsion is obtained. The particle concentration, however, only has a minor influence on the final state. It can only be noticed that for small concentrations the formation of a Pickering emulsion is more favored for smaller contact angles. The line is only a guide to the eye since the exact position of the transition from bijel to Pickering emulsion would require substantially more data points.

In Fig. 17 the final system state is depicted in dependence on the contact angle and the fluid ratio. A fluid ratio of at least 3:4 results also for contact angles larger than 90° in a bijel, while for a fluid ratio of 2:5 even neutrally wetting particles are able to stabilize a Pickering emulsion. As already shown in Fig. 16 for a fluid ratio of 5:9 it depends on the contact angle if the system relaxes toward a bijel or a Pickering emulsion. This behavior can be explained by the interplay between interface curvature and interface size: It is only energetically beneficial if the work required to maintain a curved interface is not larger than the cost due to the increased size of the interface, where the latter can be overcome by a higher concentration of particles at the interface.

FIG. 16. System state in dependence on the contact angle and concentration after $3 \times 10^4$ timesteps. The system size is $128^3$, $\rho_{init} = 0.7$, and the ratio of the two fluid species is kept fixed at 5:9. For contact angles larger than 90° the system always relaxes toward a bijel, while for strongly negative coloring a Pickering emulsion is obtained. The line is a guide to the eye.

FIG. 17. System state in dependence on the contact angle and the fluid ratio after $3 \times 10^4$ timesteps. The system size is $128^3$, $\rho_{init} = 0.7$, and the particle concentration is kept fixed at 0.2. For fluid ratios between 1:1 and 3:4 also for contact angles larger than 90° a bijel is obtained. For larger concentration ratios it depends on the particle color or contact angle if a bijel or a Pickering emulsion is produced. The line is a guide to the eye.

In this paper we proposed a new method allowing the simulation of particles with variable contact angle in multi-component fluid flows. We have studied the influence of the model parameters on the resulting fluid-particle interactions and shown that our approach is able to simulate the formation of “bijels” and Pickering emulsions. By computing phase diagrams we have demonstrated how the transition from bijel to Pickering emulsion is determined by the contact angle between particle and fluids, the particle concentration, and the ratio of the two fluid species: While the wettability of the particles and the fluid ratio strongly influence the transition from a bijel to a Pickering state, the particle volume concentration only has a minor impact.
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